# Attachments

# Attachment A



# Attachment B



# Attachment C

# National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



# Legend

SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT

Without Base Flood Elevation (BFE)

With BFE or Depth Zone AE, AO, AH, VE, AR



**Regulatory Floodway** 0.2% Annual Chance Flood Hazard, Areas of 1% annual chance flood with average depth less than one foot or with drainage areas of less than one square mile Zone X Future Conditions 1% Annual Chance Flood Hazard Zone X Area with Reduced Flood Risk due to Levee. See Notes. Zone X Area with Flood Risk due to Levee Zone D NO SCREEN Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X Effective LOMRs OTHER AREAS Area of Undetermined Flood Hazard Zone D GENERAL - -- - Channel, Culvert, or Storm Sewer STRUCTURES IIIIII Levee, Dike, or Floodwall 20.2 Cross Sections with 1% Annual Chance 17.5 Water Surface Elevation **Coastal Transect** Base Flood Elevation Line (BFE) ~ 513 ~~~~ Limit of Study Jurisdiction Boundary **Coastal Transect Baseline** \_ \_ \_ \_ OTHER **Profile Baseline** FEATURES Hydrographic Feature **Digital Data Available** No Digital Data Available MAP PANELS Unmapped The pin displayed on the map is an approximate point selected by the user and does not represent an authoritative property location.

This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The basemap shown complies with FEMA's basemap accuracy standards

The flood hazard information is derived directly from the authoritative NFHL web services provided by FEMA. This map was exported on 3/12/2020 at 2:44:13 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time.

This map image is void if the one or more of the following map elements do not appear: basemap imagery, flood zone labels, legend, scale bar, map creation date, community identifiers, FIRM panel number, and FIRM effective date. Map images for unmapped and unmodernized areas cannot be used for regulatory purposes.

# Attachment D

Company Name	Town	
Algonquin Gas Transmission Company	Chaplin	
Algonquin Gas Transmission Company	Cromwell	
Algonquin Gas Transmission Company	Oxford	
Algonquin Power Windsor Locks, LLC	Windsor Locks	
Allnex USA, Inc. (formerly Cytec Industries, Inc.)	Wallingford	
Americas Styrenics, LLC, Allyn's Point	Ledyard	
Ametek, Specialty Minerals Products Division	Wallingford	
Braxton Manufacturing Company, Inc.	Watertown	
Bridgeport Energy	Bridgeport	
Capitol District Energy Center Cogeneration Associates	Hartford	
Connecticut Dept of Ed, Stratford School of Aviation Maintenance Technician	Stratford	
Connecticut Jet Power, LLC	Greenwich	
Connecticut Municipal Electric Energy Cooperative - Alfred L. Pierce Generating Station	Wallingford	
Covanta Bristol, Inc.	Bristol	
Covanta Southeastern Connecticut Company	Preston	
CPV Towantic, LLC	Oxford	
Devon Power LLC	Milford	
Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Incorporated	Waterford	
Electric Boat Corporation	Groton	
Equilon Enterprises dba Shell Oil Products US (formerly Motiva Enterprises LLC)	New Haven	
Evonik Cyro, LLC	Wallingford	
Firestone Building Products, LLC	Bristol	
Frito-Lay, Inc.	Killingly	
GBC Metals, LLC	Waterbury	
Gilman Brothers Company	Bozrah	
Gulf Oil Limited Partnership	New Haven	
Hamilton Sundstrand Corporation - A UTC Company	Windsor Locks	
Hampford Research, Inc.	Stratford	
Iroquois Pipeline Operating Company	Brookfield	
Kimberly Clark Corporation - New Milford Mill	New Milford	
Kingswood Kitchens	Danbury	
Kleen Energy Systems, LLC	Middletown	
Lake Road Generating Company, L.P.	Killingly	
Magellan Terminals Holdings, L.P. (Forbes Avenue Terminal)	New Haven	
Magellan Terminals Holdings, L.P. Waterfront Terminal	New Haven	
Manchester, Town of, Sanitation Division (Landfill)	Manchester	
Materials Innovation and Recycling Authority (Mid-CT) (formerly CRRA -Mid-CT)	Hartford	
Materials Innovation and Recycling Authority (South Meadow Station) (formerly CRRA -South		
Meadow Station)	Hartford	
Metropolitan District	Hartford	
Middletown Power, LLC	Middletown	
Milford Power Company, LLC	Milford	
Montville Power LLC	Montville	
New Haven Terminal, Inc.	East Haven	
New Haven Terminal, Inc.	New Haven	
Norwalk Hospital	Norwalk	

Company Name	Town
Pfizer, Inc.	Groton
Plainfield Renewable Energy, LLC	Plainfield
Pratt & Whitney, Division of United Technologies Corporation	East Hartford
Pratt & Whitney, Division of United Technologies Corporation	Middletown
PSEG Power Connecticut, LLC (Bridgeport Harbor Station)	Bridgeport
PSEG Power Connecticut, LLC (New Haven Harbor)	New Haven
Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation	Stratford
Sonoco Protective Solutions, Inc. (formerly Tegrant Diversified Brands, Inc.)	Putnam
Spartech, LLC (formerly PolyOne Designed Structures and Solutions, LLC)	Stamford
Sprague Operating Resources, LLC (formerly Motiva Enterprises, LLC)	Bridgeport
Stanley Works	New Britain
Supreme Lake Manufacturing, Inc.	Southington
Total Petrochemicals & Refining USA, Inc. (formerly Cray Valley USA, LLC)	Stratford
United Aluminum Corporation	North Haven
University of Connecticut	Storrs
US Navy, Submarine Base	Groton
Wallingford Energy LLC (formerly PPL Wallingford Energy LLC)	Wallingford
Waterbury Generation, LLC	Waterbury
Wheelabrator Bridgeport, L.P.	Bridgeport
Wheelabrator Lisbon, Inc.	Lisbon
Yale University	New Haven
Yale University, School of Medicine	New Haven

# Attachment E



**Endangered Species Habitats** 



# Attachment F



# Attachment G



# U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service **National Wetlands Inventory**

# Wetlands Near Subject Property



# October 9, 2019

#### Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Wetland

Estuarine and Marine Deepwater

Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland **Freshwater Pond** 

Freshwater Emergent Wetland

Lake Other Riverine This map is for general reference only. The US Fish and Wildlife Service is not responsible for the accuracy or currentness of the base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.

> National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) This page was produced by the NWI mapper

# Attachment H



USDA United States Department of Agriculture

> Natural Resources Conservation

Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

# **Custom Soil Resource Report for** State of Connecticut



# Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

# Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map	9
Legend	10
Map Unit Legend	11
Map Unit Descriptions	11
State of Connecticut	14
38C—Hinckley loamy sand, 3 to 15 percent slopes	14
38E—Hinckley loamy sand, 15 to 45 percent slopes	16
60D—Canton and Charlton soils, 15 to 25 percent slopes	. 18
73E—Charlton-Chatfield complex, 15 to 45 percent slopes, very rocky	20
75E—Hollis-Chatfield-Rock outcrop complex, 15 to 45 percent slopes	22
229B—Agawam-Urban land complex, 0 to 8 percent slopes	25
238A—Hinckley-Urban land complex, 0 to 3 percent slopes	27
238C—Hinckley-Urban land complex, 3 to 15 percent slopes	29
260B—Charlton-Urban land complex, 3 to 8 percent slopes	31
260C—Charlton-Urban land complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes	33
260D—Charlton-Urban land complex, 15 to 25 percent slopes	35
307—Urban land	37
308—Udorthents, smoothed	. 37
W—Water	39
References	40

# **How Soil Surveys Are Made**

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

# Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



	MAP LEGEND			MAP INFORMATION		
Area of In	<b>terest (AOI)</b> Area of Interest (AOI)	Sp Sto	oil Area ony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:12,000.		
Soils ~~ Special ©	Soil Map Unit Polygons Soil Map Unit Lines Soil Map Unit Points <b>Point Features</b> Blowout Borrow Pit	<ul> <li>Mater Features</li> <li>Mater Features</li> <li>✓</li> </ul>	ry Stony Spot et Spot her ecial Line Features s reams and Canals	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.		
* \ 	Clay Spot Closed Depression Gravel Pit Gravelly Spot Landfill	HH Ra HINTE US Ma	ils erstate Highways Routes njor Roads	measurements. Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)		
》 《 》	Lava Flow Marsh or swamp Mine or Quarry Miscellaneous Water	Background	rial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as		
0 ~ + ::	Perennial Water Rock Outcrop Saline Spot Sandy Spot			of the version date(s) listed below. Soil Survey Area: State of Connecticut Survey Area Data: Version 19, Sep 13, 2019 Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales		
<b>⇒</b> ♦ ♦	Severely Eroded Spot Sinkhole Slide or Slip Sodic Spot			1:50,000 or larger. Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jun 27, 2014—Jul 22, 2014 The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were		
				compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.		

# **Map Unit Legend**

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
38C	Hinckley loamy sand, 3 to 15 percent slopes	6.9	3.1%
38E	Hinckley loamy sand, 15 to 45 percent slopes	14.3	6.4%
60D	Canton and Charlton soils, 15 to 25 percent slopes	2.0	0.9%
73E	Charlton-Chatfield complex, 15 to 45 percent slopes, very rocky	4.8	2.2%
75E	Hollis-Chatfield-Rock outcrop complex, 15 to 45 percent slopes	0.0	0.0%
229B	Agawam-Urban land complex, 0 to 8 percent slopes	62.5	27.8%
238A	Hinckley-Urban land complex, 0 to 3 percent slopes	7.7	3.4%
238C	Hinckley-Urban land complex, 3 to 15 percent slopes	10.4	4.6%
260B	Charlton-Urban land complex, 3 to 8 percent slopes	14.6	6.5%
260C	Charlton-Urban land complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes	4.9	2.2%
260D	Charlton-Urban land complex, 15 to 25 percent slopes	1.2	0.5%
307	Urban land	83.2	37.1%
308	Udorthents, smoothed	0.3	0.1%
W	Water	11.7	5.2%
Totals for Area of Interest		224.6	100.0%

# **Map Unit Descriptions**

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without

including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

# State of Connecticut

# 38C—Hinckley loamy sand, 3 to 15 percent slopes

#### **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: 2svmb Elevation: 0 to 1,290 feet Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 71 inches Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 240 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

#### **Map Unit Composition**

*Hinckley and similar soils:* 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

# **Description of Hinckley**

#### Setting

*Landform:* Eskers, outwash terraces, kames, kame terraces, outwash plains, moraines, outwash deltas

*Landform position (two-dimensional):* Footslope, toeslope, shoulder, backslope, summit

*Landform position (three-dimensional):* Nose slope, side slope, crest, head slope, riser, tread

Down-slope shape: Convex, concave, linear

Across-slope shape: Concave, linear, convex

*Parent material:* Sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits derived from gneiss and/or granite and/or schist

#### **Typical profile**

Oe - 0 to 1 inches: moderately decomposed plant material

A - 1 to 8 inches: loamy sand

Bw1 - 8 to 11 inches: gravelly loamy sand

Bw2 - 11 to 16 inches: gravelly loamy sand

BC - 16 to 19 inches: very gravelly loamy sand

C - 19 to 65 inches: very gravelly sand

# **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 3 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Excessively drained
Runoff class: Very low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to very high (1.42 to 99.90 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Salinity, maximum in profile: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.9 mmhos/cm)
Available water storage in profile: Low (about 3.1 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e

Hydrologic Soil Group: A Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

#### Windsor

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

*Landform:* Moraines, outwash terraces, eskers, kames, kame terraces, outwash plains, outwash deltas

*Landform position (two-dimensional):* Shoulder, backslope, footslope, toeslope, summit

*Landform position (three-dimensional):* Nose slope, side slope, crest, head slope, riser, tread

Down-slope shape: Convex, linear, concave

Across-slope shape: Linear, convex, concave

Hydric soil rating: No

#### Merrimac

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Outwash terraces, kames, moraines, outwash plains, eskers

- Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope, footslope, shoulder, toeslope, summit
- Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest, head slope, nose slope, riser, tread

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Convex

Hydric soil rating: No

# Agawam

Percent of map unit: 3 percent

- *Landform:* Eskers, outwash terraces, kames, kame terraces, outwash plains, moraines, outwash deltas
- *Landform position (two-dimensional):* Shoulder, backslope, toeslope, summit, footslope

*Landform position (three-dimensional):* Crest, head slope, nose slope, side slope, riser, tread

Down-slope shape: Linear, convex, concave

Across-slope shape: Convex, linear, concave

Hydric soil rating: No

# Sudbury

Percent of map unit: 2 percent
Landform: Outwash deltas, outwash terraces, kame terraces, outwash plains, moraines
Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope, footslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope, tread
Down-slope shape: Linear, concave
Across-slope shape: Concave, linear
Hydric soil rating: No

# 38E—Hinckley loamy sand, 15 to 45 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2svmj Elevation: 0 to 1,280 feet Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 71 inches Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 240 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

Hinckley and similar soils: 85 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Hinckley**

#### Setting

*Landform:* Outwash deltas, outwash terraces, eskers, kames, kame terraces, outwash plains, moraines

Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope

*Landform position (three-dimensional):* Nose slope, side slope, crest, head slope, riser

Down-slope shape: Linear, convex, concave

Across-slope shape: Linear, concave, convex

*Parent material:* Sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits derived from gneiss and/or granite and/or schist

# Typical profile

Oe - 0 to 1 inches: moderately decomposed plant material

A - 1 to 8 inches: loamy sand

Bw1 - 8 to 11 inches: gravelly loamy sand

Bw2 - 11 to 16 inches: gravelly loamy sand

BC - 16 to 19 inches: very gravelly loamy sand

C - 19 to 65 inches: very gravelly sand

# Properties and qualities

Slope: 15 to 45 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Excessively drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to very high (1.42 to 99.90 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Salinity, maximum in profile: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.9 mmhos/cm)
Available water storage in profile: Low (about 3.1 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

#### Merrimac

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Eskers, outwash terraces, kames, moraines, outwash plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, head slope, nose slope, crest, riser Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

#### Windsor

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

*Landform:* Outwash deltas, moraines, outwash terraces, eskers, kames, kame terraces, outwash plains

Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope

Landform position (three-dimensional): Head slope, nose slope, side slope, crest, riser

*Down-slope shape:* Convex, linear, concave *Across-slope shape:* Convex, linear, concave

Hydric soil rating: No

# Agawam

Percent of map unit: 3 percent
Landform: Kame terraces, outwash terraces, eskers, kames, outwash plains, moraines, outwash deltas
Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Nose slope, side slope, crest, head slope, riser
Down-slope shape: Linear, convex, concave
Across-slope shape: Convex, linear, concave
Hydric soil rating: No

#### Sudbury

Percent of map unit: 2 percent
Landform: Kame terraces, outwash plains, outwash deltas, outwash terraces, eskers, kames, moraines
Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope, footslope
Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope, tread
Down-slope shape: Linear, concave
Across-slope shape: Concave, linear
Hydric soil rating: No

# 60D—Canton and Charlton soils, 15 to 25 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9lpq Elevation: 0 to 1,200 feet Mean annual precipitation: 43 to 54 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 185 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

Canton and similar soils: 45 percent Charlton and similar soils: 35 percent Minor components: 20 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Canton**

#### Setting

Landform: Hills Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Coarse-loamy over sandy and gravelly melt-out till derived from granite and/or schist and/or gneiss

#### **Typical profile**

Oe - 0 to 1 inches: moderately decomposed plant material

A - 1 to 3 inches: gravelly fine sandy loam

Bw1 - 3 to 15 inches: gravelly loam

Bw2 - 15 to 24 inches: gravelly loam

Bw3 - 24 to 30 inches: gravelly loam

2C - 30 to 60 inches: very gravelly loamy sand

# **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 15 to 25 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Low (about 5.6 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Description of Charlton**

#### Setting

Landform: Hills Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Coarse-loamy melt-out till derived from granite and/or schist and/or gneiss

#### **Typical profile**

Ap - 0 to 4 inches: fine sandy loam Bw1 - 4 to 7 inches: fine sandy loam Bw2 - 7 to 19 inches: fine sandy loam Bw3 - 19 to 27 inches: gravelly fine sandy loam C - 27 to 65 inches: gravelly fine sandy loam

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 15 to 25 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Low (about 5.9 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Hydric soil rating: No

# Minor Components

#### Leicester

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Drainageways, depressions Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Chatfield

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Ridges, hills Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Sutton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Drainageways, depressions Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Hollis

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Hills, ridges Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

# 73E—Charlton-Chatfield complex, 15 to 45 percent slopes, very rocky

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9lql Elevation: 0 to 1,200 feet Mean annual precipitation: 43 to 56 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 185 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### **Map Unit Composition**

Charlton and similar soils: 45 percent Chatfield and similar soils: 30 percent Minor components: 25 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Charlton**

#### Setting

Landform: Hills Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Coarse-loamy melt-out till derived from granite and/or schist and/or gneiss

#### **Typical profile**

Ap - 0 to 4 inches: fine sandy loam Bw1 - 4 to 7 inches: fine sandy loam Bw2 - 7 to 19 inches: fine sandy loam Bw3 - 19 to 27 inches: gravelly fine sandy loam C - 27 to 65 inches: gravelly fine sandy loam

#### Properties and qualities

Slope: 15 to 45 percent
Percent of area covered with surface fragments: 1.6 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: High
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None

*Frequency of ponding:* None *Available water storage in profile:* Low (about 5.9 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7s Hydrologic Soil Group: B Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Description of Chatfield**

#### Setting

Landform: Ridges, hills Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Coarse-loamy melt-out till derived from granite and/or schist and/or gneiss

#### **Typical profile**

*Oa - 0 to 1 inches:* highly decomposed plant material *A - 1 to 6 inches:* gravelly fine sandy loam *Bw1 - 6 to 15 inches:* gravelly fine sandy loam *Bw2 - 15 to 29 inches:* gravelly fine sandy loam *2R - 29 to 80 inches:* unweathered bedrock

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 15 to 45 percent
Percent of area covered with surface fragments: 1.6 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 40 inches to lithic bedrock
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: High
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Low to high (0.01 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Low (about 3.3 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7s Hydrologic Soil Group: B Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

#### Rock outcrop

*Percent of map unit:* 10 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No

#### Leicester

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Drainageways, depressions Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Sutton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Drainageways, depressions Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Hollis

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Hills, ridges Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

Unnamed, sandy subsoil Percent of map unit: 1 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Unnamed, red parent material

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Hydric soil rating: No

# 75E—Hollis-Chatfield-Rock outcrop complex, 15 to 45 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9lqp Elevation: 0 to 1,200 feet Mean annual precipitation: 43 to 56 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 185 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

Hollis and similar soils: 35 percent Chatfield and similar soils: 30 percent Rock outcrop: 15 percent Minor components: 20 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Hollis**

#### Setting

Landform: Ridges, hills Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Loamy melt-out till derived from granite and/or schist and/or gneiss

#### **Typical profile**

Oa - 0 to 1 inches: highly decomposed plant material

A - 1 to 6 inches: gravelly fine sandy loam Bw1 - 6 to 9 inches: channery fine sandy loam Bw2 - 9 to 15 inches: gravelly fine sandy loam 2R - 15 to 80 inches: bedrock

#### Properties and qualities

Slope: 15 to 45 percent
Percent of area covered with surface fragments: 9.0 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 10 to 20 inches to lithic bedrock
Natural drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained
Runoff class: High
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Low to high (0.01 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Very low (about 1.8 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7s Hydrologic Soil Group: D Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Description of Chatfield**

#### Setting

Landform: Ridges, hills Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Coarse-loamy melt-out till derived from granite and/or schist and/or gneiss

# **Typical profile**

*Oa - 0 to 1 inches:* highly decomposed plant material *A - 1 to 6 inches:* gravelly fine sandy loam *Bw1 - 6 to 15 inches:* gravelly fine sandy loam *Bw2 - 15 to 29 inches:* gravelly fine sandy loam *2R - 29 to 80 inches:* unweathered bedrock

# **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 15 to 45 percent
Percent of area covered with surface fragments: 1.6 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 40 inches to lithic bedrock
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: High
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Low to high (0.01 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Low (about 3.3 inches)

# Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7s Hydrologic Soil Group: B Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Description of Rock Outcrop**

#### **Properties and qualities**

*Slope:* 15 to 45 percent *Depth to restrictive feature:* 0 inches to lithic bedrock *Runoff class:* Very high

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8 Hydrologic Soil Group: D Hydric soil rating: Unranked

#### **Minor Components**

#### Charlton

Percent of map unit: 7 percent Landform: Hills Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Leicester

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Sutton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Drainageways, depressions Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Unnamed, red parent material

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Hydric soil rating: No

# Unnamed, sandy subsoil

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Hydric soil rating: No

# Brimfield

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Ridges, hills Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

# 229B—Agawam-Urban land complex, 0 to 8 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9lkd Elevation: 0 to 1,200 feet Mean annual precipitation: 43 to 56 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 185 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### **Map Unit Composition**

Agawam and similar soils: 40 percent Urban land: 35 percent Minor components: 25 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Agawam**

#### Setting

Landform: Terraces, outwash plains Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Coarse-loamy eolian deposits over sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits derived from granite and/or schist and/or gneiss

# **Typical profile**

Ap - 0 to 8 inches: fine sandy loam Bw1 - 8 to 14 inches: fine sandy loam Bw2 - 14 to 24 inches: fine sandy loam 2C - 24 to 60 inches: stratified very gravelly coarse sand to fine sand

# **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Low (about 4.8 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Description of Urban Land**

#### **Typical profile**

H - 0 to 6 inches: material

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8 Hydrologic Soil Group: D Hydric soil rating: Unranked

#### **Minor Components**

#### Walpole

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Depressions on terraces, drainageways on terraces Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Hinckley

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Terraces, outwash plains, kames, eskers Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

#### Udorthents

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Merrimac

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Terraces, outwash plains, kames Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Scarboro

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Terraces, depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Unnamed, red parent material

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Hydric soil rating: No

# 238A—Hinckley-Urban land complex, 0 to 3 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2svlz Elevation: 0 to 740 feet Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 71 inches Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 145 to 240 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

*Hinckley and similar soils:* 40 percent *Urban land:* 35 percent *Minor components:* 25 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

#### **Description of Hinckley**

#### Setting

Landform: Kame terraces, outwash plains, outwash deltas, outwash terraces Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear, convex, concave Across-slope shape: Convex, linear, concave Parent material: Sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits derived from gneiss and/or granite and/or schist

#### **Typical profile**

A - 0 to 8 inches: loamy sand Bw1 - 8 to 11 inches: gravelly loamy sand Bw2 - 11 to 16 inches: gravelly loamy sand BC - 16 to 19 inches: very gravelly loamy sand C - 19 to 65 inches: very gravelly sand

### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Excessively drained
Runoff class: Negligible
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to very high (1.42 to 99.90 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Salinity, maximum in profile: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.9 mmhos/cm)
Available water storage in profile: Very low (about 2.9 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3s

Hydrologic Soil Group: A Hydric soil rating: No

#### Description of Urban Land

#### **Typical profile** *M* - 0 to 10 inches: cemented material

### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 0 inches to manufactured layer
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low (0.00 to 0.00 in/hr)
Available water storage in profile: Very low (about 0.0 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8 Hydrologic Soil Group: D Hydric soil rating: Unranked

#### **Minor Components**

#### Udorthents

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Walpole

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Outwash terraces, deltas, depressions, outwash plains, depressions Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Windsor

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Outwash plains, outwash deltas, outwash terraces, kame terraces Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Convex, linear, concave Across-slope shape: Linear, convex, concave Hydric soil rating: No

#### Sudbury

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Terraces, deltas, outwash plains Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

# 238C—Hinckley-Urban land complex, 3 to 15 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9lkt Elevation: 0 to 1,200 feet Mean annual precipitation: 43 to 56 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 185 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

*Hinckley and similar soils:* 40 percent *Urban land:* 35 percent *Minor components:* 25 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

#### **Description of Hinckley**

#### Setting

Landform: Terraces, kames, outwash plains, eskers Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits derived from granite and/or schist and/or gneiss

#### **Typical profile**

Ap - 0 to 8 inches: gravelly sandy loam

*Bw1 - 8 to 20 inches:* very gravelly loamy sand

Bw2 - 20 to 27 inches: very gravelly sand

C1 - 27 to 42 inches: stratified cobbly coarse sand to extremely gravelly sand

C2 - 42 to 60 inches: stratified cobbly coarse sand to extremely gravelly sand

# **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 3 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Excessively drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to very high (5.95 to 19.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Very low (about 2.3 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Description of Urban Land**

#### **Typical profile**

H - 0 to 6 inches: material

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8 Hydrologic Soil Group: D Hydric soil rating: Unranked

# Minor Components

#### Udorthents

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Sudbury

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Terraces, outwash plains Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Windsor

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Kames, outwash plains, terraces Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

#### Merrimac

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Terraces, kames, outwash plains Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Walpole

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Drainageways on terraces, depressions on terraces Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Agawam

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Terraces, outwash plains Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Scarboro

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Terraces, drainageways, depressions Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

# 260B—Charlton-Urban land complex, 3 to 8 percent slopes

#### **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: 2xff7 Elevation: 0 to 1,020 feet Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 71 inches Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 240 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

# Map Unit Composition

Charlton and similar soils: 40 percent Urban land: 35 percent Minor components: 25 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Charlton**

#### Setting

Landform: Ridges, hills, ground moraines Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder, summit, backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Coarse-loamy melt-out till derived from gneiss, granite, and/or schist

#### **Typical profile**

*Ap - 0 to 7 inches:* fine sandy loam *Bw - 7 to 22 inches:* gravelly fine sandy loam *C - 22 to 65 inches:* gravelly fine sandy loam

# **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to high (0.14 to 14.17 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Salinity, maximum in profile: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.9 mmhos/cm)
Available water storage in profile: Moderate (about 6.9 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Hydric soil rating: No

#### Description of Urban Land

#### **Typical profile**

M - 0 to 10 inches: cemented material

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 0 inches to manufactured layer
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low (0.00 to 0.00 in/hr)
Available water storage in profile: Very low (about 0.0 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8 Hydrologic Soil Group: D Hydric soil rating: Unranked

#### **Minor Components**

#### Chatfield

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Landform: Ridges, hills Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope, shoulder, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest, side slope, nose slope Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex, linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Leicester

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Ground moraines, hills, drainageways, depressions Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope, footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope Down-slope shape: Concave, linear Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Sutton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Hills, ground moraines Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Udorthents

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Ridges Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Linear, convex Hydric soil rating: No

# 260C—Charlton-Urban land complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2xff8 Elevation: 0 to 890 feet Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 71 inches Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 240 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

Charlton and similar soils: 40 percent Urban land: 35 percent Minor components: 25 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Charlton**

#### Setting

Landform: Ridges, hills, ground moraines Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Coarse-loamy melt-out till derived from gneiss, granite, and/or schist

#### **Typical profile**

*Ap - 0 to 7 inches:* fine sandy loam *Bw - 7 to 22 inches:* gravelly fine sandy loam *C - 22 to 65 inches:* gravelly fine sandy loam

# **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 8 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to high (0.14 to 14.17 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Salinity, maximum in profile: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.9 mmhos/cm)
Available water storage in profile: Moderate (about 6.9 inches)

# Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Hydric soil rating: No

#### Description of Urban Land

#### **Typical profile** *M* - 0 to 10 inches: cemented material

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 8 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 0 inches to manufactured layer
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low (0.00 to 0.00 in/hr)
Available water storage in profile: Very low (about 0.0 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8 Hydrologic Soil Group: D Hydric soil rating: Unranked

#### **Minor Components**

#### Chatfield

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Landform: Ridges, hills Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope, shoulder, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest, side slope, nose slope Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex, linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Leicester

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Ground moraines, hills, drainageways, depressions Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope, footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope Down-slope shape: Concave, linear Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

# Sutton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Hills, ground moraines Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

# Udorthents

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Ridges Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Linear, convex Hydric soil rating: No

# 260D—Charlton-Urban land complex, 15 to 25 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2xff9 Elevation: 10 to 870 feet Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 71 inches Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 240 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

*Charlton and similar soils:* 40 percent *Urban land:* 35 percent *Minor components:* 25 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

#### **Description of Charlton**

#### Setting

Landform: Ridges, hills, ground moraines Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Coarse-loamy melt-out till derived from gneiss, granite, and/or schist

#### **Typical profile**

*Ap - 0 to 7 inches:* fine sandy loam *Bw - 7 to 22 inches:* gravelly fine sandy loam *C - 22 to 65 inches:* gravelly fine sandy loam

### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 15 to 25 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to high (0.14 to 14.17 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Salinity, maximum in profile: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.9 mmhos/cm)
Available water storage in profile: Moderate (about 6.9 inches)

### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Description of Urban Land**

#### **Typical profile**

M - 0 to 10 inches: cemented material

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 15 to 25 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 0 inches to manufactured layer
Runoff class: Very high
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low (0.00 to 0.00 in/hr)
Available water storage in profile: Very low (about 0.0 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8 Hydrologic Soil Group: D Hydric soil rating: Unranked

#### **Minor Components**

#### Chatfield

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Landform: Hills, ridges Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, shoulder, backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest, side slope, nose slope Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear, convex Hydric soil rating: No

#### Leicester

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Drainageways, ground moraines, hills, depressions Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope, footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope Down-slope shape: Linear, concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Sutton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Hills, ground moraines Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Udorthents

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Ridges Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Linear, convex Hydric soil rating: No

# 307—Urban land

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9lmh Elevation: 0 to 2,000 feet Mean annual precipitation: 43 to 56 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 120 to 185 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

*Urban land:* 80 percent *Minor components:* 20 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

#### **Description of Urban Land**

Typical profile H - 0 to 6 inches: material

### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8 Hydrologic Soil Group: D Hydric soil rating: Unranked

#### **Minor Components**

# Unnamed, undisturbed soils Percent of map unit: 10 percent

Hydric soil rating: No Udorthents, wet substratum

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

# 308—Udorthents, smoothed

#### Map Unit Setting

*National map unit symbol:* 9lmj *Elevation:* 0 to 2,000 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 43 to 56 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 120 to 185 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

Udorthents and similar soils: 80 percent Minor components: 20 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Udorthents**

#### Setting

*Down-slope shape:* Convex *Across-slope shape:* Linear

#### Typical profile

A - 0 to 5 inches: loam C1 - 5 to 21 inches: gravelly loam C2 - 21 to 80 inches: very gravelly sandy loam

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 35 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Moderately well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to high (0.00 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 24 to 54 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Moderate (about 6.8 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Hydric soil rating: No

### **Minor Components**

#### Udorthents, wet substratum

Percent of map unit: 7 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Unnamed, undisturbed soils

Percent of map unit: 7 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Urban land**

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

### Rock outcrop

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Hydric soil rating: No

# W-Water

Map Unit CompositionWater: 100 percentEstimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

# References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2\_052290.pdf